## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Dennis Hart and	Ron Miller	
		CASE NO. C 07 5845 MMC
	Plaintiff(s),	
City of Alameda	v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s)/	
	el report that they have met and confoulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 ar	erred regarding ADR and have reached the ad ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties ag	ree to participate in the following AD	DR process:
Court √	Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (AMEDIATION (ADR L.R. 6)	
appreciably n	ore likely to meet their needs than an	conference with a Magistrate Judge is ny other form of ADR, must participate in an They must instead file a Notice of Need for and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Privat	e <b>Process:</b> Private ADR (please identify proces	s and provider)
The parties ag	ree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The dead referring the case to an ADR proces	line is 90 days from the date of the order as unless otherwise ordered.)
✓	other requested deadline By stipulation	on after agreed-upon pre-ADR discovery
Dated: Feb. 29	2008	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: Feb. 28,	2008	Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED]	ORDER
------------	-------

Pursuant to the Stipulation above,	the captioned matter	is hereby refe	erred to:
Non-binding Arbitration			

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

✓ Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

✓ other By stipulation.

TT	15	SO	ORDERI	an.

Dated:	
	INITED STATES MAGISTRATE HIDGE

	Case 3:07-cv-05845-MMC
1	VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL: I attached a true and correct copy thereof in PDF
2	format to an electronic mail message transmitted to the electronic mail address indicated above.
3	
4	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
5	foregoing is true and correct and was executed on February 29, 2008 at Pleasant Hill,
6	California.
7	Magai Rold
8	Maggie Bedig
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	